LOI-000976 Committee Meeting September 3, 2013 Minutes

Evaluation Committee for Miscellaneous CEI Services; LOI-000976, held a duly noticed meeting on Tuesday, September 3, 2013, commencing at 9:15 a.m. in the Pelican Conference Room at the OOCEA Administrative Bldg, Orlando, Florida.

Committee Members Present:
Don Budnovich, Resident Engineer
Glenn Pressimone, Director of Engineering
Iranetta Dennis, Director of Business Development
Julie Naditz, Highway Construction Division Manager, Orange County

Other Attendees:
Robert Johnson, Manager of Procurement

Discussion and Motions:
Robert explained that today’s meeting was to evaluate and shortlist the top firms and commenced the meeting collecting the Evaluation Committee Member Disclosure forms that the committee members reviewed and executed.

Robert then ask the committee if they were complete with their evaluation and ready to receive Procurements input with regard to the scoring of item 4; Location of Firm and item 8; Volume of work previously awarded. Committee member were than provided those scores.

Iranetta stated that based on her review all she is advising the committee to give a score of 12 to all Proposers for item 6; M/WBE participation.

The committee members then tallied up the individual evaluation sheets then transpose them onto the individual committee member shortlist ranking sheet and upon completion pass them in for incorporation onto to the LOI shortlist summary sheet.

Evaluation Criteria forms were collected and the ranking scores from all committee members were tallied with the following results:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Firms</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Ranking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc</td>
<td>06</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It was the consensus of the committee that the top 3 respondents be invited to participate in Interviews.
Robert stated he would notify the shortlisted respondents and that the Interviews will be held in alphabetical order.

Robert stated that he would set up the interviews in alphabetical order and that the presentations would be held on September 11, 2013, commencing at 9 a.m. Robert stated the shortlist scores would be combined with the interview score since no technical would be evaluated. Committee members agreed that score averages would be brought forward and that interviews would be scored at a maximum 20 points.

There being no further business to come before the Committee, the meeting was adjourned at 10:38 a.m. These minutes are considered to be the official minutes of the Evaluation Committee meeting held Tuesday, September 3, 2013, and no other notes, tapes, etc., taken by anyone takes precedence.

Submitted by:  
Robert Johnson, Manager of Procurement

On behalf of the Evaluation Committee these minutes have been review and approved by:

Don Budnovich, Resident Engineer
Disclosure Form for Evaluation Committee Members

For this purpose, the term “relative” includes the following: father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister or any person having the same legal residence as the employee.

The term “principal” means anyone who shares in the profits of the entity or whose compensation might vary based on the award or performance of the project.

The term “employed by” includes any receipt of compensation for services.

“May submit a proposal,” means planning to submit, or considering submission. If you don’t know, ask.

Persons serving on a selection committee in a solicitation process should be able to answer all the following questions in the negative. If the answer is affirmative, please explain below:

1. Are you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household currently
   Employed by any entity that may submit a proposal?  Yes □  No □
2. Are you, or any relative, an officer, director, principal, or project team participant of, any entity that may submit a proposal?  Yes □  No □
3. Do you, or does any relative, own more than 5% of any entity that may submit a proposal?  Yes □  No □
4. Within the preceding 12 months have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household been offered employment or future employment or, had any discussion involving future employment with any entity that may submit a proposal?  Yes □  No □
5. Within the preceding 12 months, have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household accepted a gift valued at over $100 from any entity, or a principal of any entity that may submit a proposal?  Yes □  No □
6. Could the award of this contract result in any pecuniary gain to you, any relative, any business associate, or any entity that has employed or retained you within the past 12 months?  Yes □  No □
7. Do you know of any reason that would impact your impartiality with respect to this solicitation?  Yes □  No □

If you answer yes to any of these questions, please explain below

Additional comments

If the answer to any of the foregoing questions is “yes,” then your participation on the selection committee must be approved by the Executive Director after a review of all relevant facts.

I, [Name], hereby certify that I have read and understand the Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority Ethics Policy and I agree to be bound by the applicable laws and policies.

[Signature]
Disclosure Form for Evaluation Committee Members

For this purpose, the term “relative” includes the following: father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister or any person having the same legal residence as the employee.

The term “principal” means anyone who shares in the profits of the entity or whose compensation might vary based on the award or performance of the project.

The term “employed by” includes any receipt of compensation for services.

“May submit a proposal,” means planning to submit, or considering submission. If you don’t know, ask.

Persons serving on a selection committee in a solicitation process should be able to answer all the following questions in the negative. If the answer is affirmative, please explain below:

1. Are you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household currently
   Employed by any entity that may submit a proposal? ☐ ☐
2. Are you, or any relative, an officer, director, principal, or project team participant
   of, any entity that may submit a proposal? ☐ ☐
3. Do you, or does any relative, own more than 5% of any entity that may submit a
   proposal? ☐ ☐
4. Within the preceding 12 months have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your
   household been offered employment or future employment or, had any discussion
   involving future employment with any entity that may submit a proposal? ☐ ☐
5. Within the preceding 12 months, have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your
   household accepted a gift valued at over $100 from any entity, or a principal of any
   entity that may submit a proposal? ☐ ☐
6. Could the award of this contract result in any pecuniary gain to you, any relative, any
   business associate, or any entity that has employed or retained you within the past
   12 months? ☐ ☐
7. Do you know of any reason that would impact your impartiality with respect to this
   solicitation? ☐ ☐

If you answer yes to any of these questions, please explain below
Additional comments
______________________________
- N/A -

______________________________
If the answer to any of the foregoing questions is “yes,” then your participation on the selection committee
must be approved by the Executive Director after a review of all relevant facts.

I, [Name], hereby certify that I have read and understand the Orlando-Orange County
Expressway Authority Ethics Policy and I agree to be bound by the applicable laws and policies.

[Signature]
Disclosure Form for Evaluation Committee Members

For this purpose, the term "relative" includes the following: father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister or any person having the same legal residence as the employee.

The term "principal" means anyone who shares in the profits of the entity or whose compensation might vary based on the award or performance of the project.

The term "employed by" includes any receipt of compensation for services.

"May submit a proposal," means planning to submit, or considering submission. If you don't know, ask.

Persons serving on a selection committee in a solicitation process should be able to answer all the following questions in the negative. If the answer is affirmative, please explain below:

1. Are you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household currently
   Employed by any entity that may submit a proposal? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

2. Are you, or any relative, an officer, director, principal, or project team participant 
   of, any entity that may submit a proposal? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

3. Do you, or does any relative, own more than 5% of any entity that may submit a 
   proposal? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

4. Within the preceding 12 months have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your 
   household been offered employment or future employment or, had any discussion 
   involving future employment with any entity that may submit a proposal? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

5. Within the preceding 12 months, have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your 
   household accepted a gift valued at over $100 from any entity, or a principal of any 
   entity that may submit a proposal? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

6. Could the award of this contract result in any pecuniary gain to you, any relative, any 
   business associate, or any entity that has employed or retained you within the past 
   12 months? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

7. Do you know of any reason that would impact your impartiality with respect to this 
   solicitation? 
   ☐ Yes ☐ No

If you answer yes to any of these questions, please explain below
Additional comments___________________________________________

If the answer to any of the foregoing questions is "yes," then your participation on the selection committee must be approved by the Executive Director after a review of all relevant facts.

I, ____________, hereby certify that I have read and understand the Orlando-Orange County (Name)
Expressway Authority Ethics Policy and I agree to be bound by the applicable laws and policies.

___________________________________________
(Signature)

Julie K. Naditz
Disclosure Form for Evaluation Committee Members

For this purpose, the term “relative” includes the following: father, mother, son, daughter, brother, sister, uncle, aunt, first cousin, nephew, niece, husband, wife, father-in-law, mother-in-law, son-in-law, daughter-in-law, brother-in-law, sister-in-law, stepfather, stepmother, stepson, stepdaughter, stepbrother, stepsister, half brother, or half sister or any person having the same legal residence as the employee.

The term “principal” means anyone who shares in the profits of the entity or whose compensation might vary based on the award or performance of the project.

The term “employed by” includes any receipt of compensation for services.

“May submit a proposal,” means planning to submit, or considering submission. If you don’t know, ask.

Persons serving on a selection committee in a solicitation process should be able to answer all the following questions in the negative. If the answer is affirmative, please explain below:

- 1. Are you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household currently employed by any entity that may submit a proposal?  
- 2. Are you, or any relative, an officer, director, principal, or project team participant of any entity that may submit a proposal?  
- 3. Do you, or does any relative, own more than 5% of any entity that may submit a proposal?  
- 4. Within the preceding 12 months have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household been offered employment or future employment or, had any discussion involving future employment with any entity that may submit a proposal?  
- 5. Within the preceding 12 months, have you, your spouse, child or anyone living in your household accepted a gift valued at over $100 from any entity, or a principal of any entity that may submit a proposal?  
- 6. Could the award of this contract result in any pecuniary gain to you, any relative, any business associate, or any entity that has employed or retained you within the past 12 months?  
- 7. Do you know of any reason that would impact your impartiality with respect to this solicitation?

If you answer yes to any of these questions, please explain below

Additional comments

If the answer to any of the foregoing questions is “yes,” then your participation on the selection committee must be approved by the Executive Director after a review of all relevant facts.

I, Trinette Dennis, hereby certify that I have read and understand the Orlando-Orange County Expressway Authority Ethics Policy and I agree to be bound by the applicable laws and policies.

Signature
### ORLANDO-ORANGE COUNTY EXPRESSWAY AUTHORITY
### LETTERS OF INTEREST SHORTLIST SUMMARY
### MISCELLANEOUS CEI SERVICES
### CONTRACT NO. 000976

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTANT</th>
<th>Ben Dreiling RANKING</th>
<th>Don Budnovich RANKING</th>
<th>Iranetta Dennis RANKING</th>
<th>Julie Naditz RANKING</th>
<th>Glenn Presimone RANKING</th>
<th>TOTAL SCORE</th>
<th>RANKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBERS:**

September 3, 2013

September 3, 2013

September 3, 2013

September 3, 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTANT</th>
<th>SCORE FROM EVALUATION FORM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONSULTANT</td>
<td>SCORE FROM EVALUATION FORM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBER

Signature: [Signature]

Date: Tuesday, September 3, 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTANT</th>
<th>SCORE FROM EVALUATION FORM</th>
<th>RANKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBER

Date: Tuesday, September 3, 2013
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONSULTANT</th>
<th>SCORE FROM EVALUATION FORM</th>
<th>RANKING</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNTB Corporation</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>URS Corporation Southern</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rummel, Klepper &amp; Kahl, LLP</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mehta &amp; Associates, Inc.</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eisman &amp; Russo Consulting Engineers</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EVALUATION COMMITTEE MEMBER

Date: Tuesday, September 3, 2013